



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/941,154

08/27/2001

John R. Bianchi

RTI-112RIA

5282

7590

05/07/2007

DONALD J. POCHOPIEN  
MCANDREWS, HEKD & MALLOY, LTD.  
CITICORP CENTER, 34TH FLOOR  
500 WEST MADISON STREET  
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

PREBILIC, PAUL B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3738

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/07/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/941,154

Applicant(s)

BIANCHI ET AL.

Examiner

Paul B. Prebilic

Art Unit

3738

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul B. Prebilic.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jennifer Lacroix.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 01 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: We discussed the amendment filed with the RCE dated April 4, 2007.

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 30.


Identification of prior art discussed: Coates and Seibels as applied in the previous Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
Paul B. Prebilic  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Lacroix argued that claim 30 should be patentable over the applied art because it has features that are not shown in either Coates or Seibels. The Examiner reviewed it and thought that claim 30 may be patentable over the combination of Coates and Seibels so he will have to review this in view of the discussion of claim 30 on page 6 of the October 31, 2006 Office action. The Examiner said that he would fully review the RCE response and get back to Ms. Lacroix in a week or two.